



YOUNG ADULT SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT GRANT

SAMHSA Title:

- State Adolescent and Transitional Aged Youth Treatment Enhancement and Dissemination Planning
- Short Title:
 - State Youth Treatment-Planning

Funding Purpose

- To provide funding to develop a comprehensive strategic plan in order to improve treatment for adolescents (ages 12-18) and/or transition-age youth (ages 16-25) with substance use disorders (SUD) and/or co-occurring substance use and mental disorders. The plan will help assure that youth have access to evidence-based assessments and treatment models and recovery services by strengthening the existing infrastructure system.

Grant Duration

- 2 year planning grant
- Essentially we are planning to plan (final product is a 3 year strategic plan).

What Did We Say We Will Do?

- Hire Staff
- Form Interagency Council from identified stakeholders
- Form two subcommittees from the Interagency Council
 - Substance Abuse Financing Subcommittee
 - State Youth Treatment Planning Subcommittee

- Develop financing structures that support a coordinated treatment and recovery system for transition-age youth with SUD and/or co-occurring SUD and MHD
- Develop a 3 year state workforce training plan that will serve as the state's blueprint to increase dissemination of effective treatment and recovery services for transition-age youth in the future

- Develop and implement workforce map used to widen the use of effective treatment and recovery services in the future
- Develop a comprehensive 3 year strategic plan in order to improve treatment for transition age youth
- Create a 3 year plan for a family and youth state-wide structure to promote involvement in substance use treatment and recovery services for transition-age youth

- Establish a formal agreement with other SAMHSA funded Community Mental Health Services for Children and their Families Program grantee
- Develop a three year plan to create a provider collaborative within Virginia

Age Group	Population	Dependency or Abuse	Any Past Year MH	Co-Occurring Disorder
16-17	109,366	6,168 (6%)		
18-25	365,518	71,203 (20%)	83,757 (23%)	11,697 (14% SA)
16-25	474,884	77,371 (16%)		

Transition Age Youth Receiving CSB SA Services (State Fiscal Year 2014)

AGE	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
16-25	8,106 (77,371)	11%

Key Points

- State Structure-
 - Services are administered at state-level by DBHDS
 - Services provided by 40 CSBs that are locally governed
 - CSBs are not mandated to provide MH and SA as distinct programs for children and adolescents
 - There are no dedicated SA treatment funds for children and adolescents
 - Provision of adolescent SA services vary widely across the state
 - Widely diverse geographic regions

2011 Report:

A Plan for Community-Based Children's Behavioral Health Services

- All communities have an incomplete array of services
- There is inadequate capacity in all services that are available resulting in children and families waiting for services
- Families are faced with inconsistencies across the state in the array and capacity of services
- Many children do not receive services early enough (leading to worsening of their condition and increased cost)
- Many children do not meet the eligibility requirements for services
- Workforce development is needed to support a comprehensive system
- There is inadequate oversight and quality assurance for the services that do exist

What Have We Done Thus Far?

- Hired Staff
 - Grant Coordinator
 - » Rich Firth
 - Research and Evaluation Specialist
 - » Anne Buford

Interagency Council

- Carol Agee
 - Anna Antell
 - Ashley Church
 - Ashley Everette
 - Joann Burkholder
 - Kevin Doyle
 - Scott Richeson
 - Fabrienne Dorsey
 - Greg Peters
 - Ashley Harrell
 - Diana Jordan
 - Pam Kestner
 - Laurel Marks
 - Mary Brown-Brooks
 - Haley Mathews
 - Ashaki McNeil
 - Rex Petrey
 - Robert Henry
 - Kathy Robertson
 - Jean Stevenson
 - Rhonda Thissen
 - Tom Bannard
 - Valerie Petrey
- VEC
 - CSA
 - Consumer/Virginia Family Network
 - Voices for Virginia's Children
 - DOE
 - Longwood Recovers
 - VADOC
 - Parent
 - Parent/UMFS
 - DMAS
 - VDH
 - Deputy Secretary of Health and Human Resources
 - DCJS
 - Parent
 - DSS
 - DJJ
 - Young Person in Recovery
 - VCCS
 - DCDH
 - DARS
 - DBHDS
 - Rams in Recovery/COBE
 - Parent



Findings

- Across a range of studies, 54-95% of youth in alcohol and drug treatment have some type of mental disorder.
- “Co-morbidity” is so common that dual diagnosis should be expected rather than considered an exception.” Minkoff
- Systems need to be integrated in order to attain comprehensive, coordinated and holistic care. The system should be designed to meet the needs of the individual and family in a flexible, integrated, collaborative and outcome focused model.
- Between 25 & 35% of all people discharged from addiction treatment will be readmitted to treatment within a year. Nearly 50% within 2-5 years.
- Treatment outcomes are worse for adolescents with co-occurring disorders.
- Acute care model of clinical intervention alone is not sufficient to achieve long-term recovery



- Continuing care is widely recommended as a critical component for maintaining treatment gains.
- Adolescent alcohol/drug problems are typically bundled with other personal or family problems.
- Recovery often involves improved educational and vocational performance.
- Onset of substance use disorders typically begins in adolescence or early adulthood.
- Adolescents often have less developed executive functioning that can lead to poor self-regulation and impulse control

Values and Principles for a Recovery-Oriented System of Care:

- Being family focused
- Employing a broad definition of family
- Being age appropriate
- Reflecting the developmental stages of youth
- Acknowledging the nonlinear nature of recovery
- Promoting resilience
- Being strengths-based
- Identifying recovery capital

Services and Supports

- Ensuring family involvement
- Providing linkage
- Assuring that the range of services and supports address multiple domains in a young person's life
- Including services that foster social connectedness
- Providing specialty recovery supports
- Providing therapeutic/clinical interventions

Infrastructure Elements

- Family involvement at the design and policy level
- Policy changes at the Federal, State and provider levels
- Collaborative financing
- Collaborative integration across all youth-serving systems
- Workforce development
- Leadership
- Accountability

Outcomes

– Youth

- Social connectedness
- Reciprocity: increased capacity for youth to give back to the community
- Increased self-sufficiency
- Increased number of developmentally appropriate assets

– System

- Support for family and sibling recovery
- Easy access to service system with multiple entry points

Challenges

- Lack of shared language and common vision
- Complexity of achieving change
- Stigma
- Disparities across race, ethnicity, gender, culture, age, etc.
- Lack of culturally and linguistically competent services and supports
- Limited family and youth involvement
- Lack of infrastructure supporting integrated systems of care and recovery
- Financing
- Service system coordination
- Lack of appropriate outcome measures and accountability procedures
- Inadequate workforce capacity
- Lack of recovery-focused services and supports
- Lack of care coordination
- Confidentiality issues
- Lack of statewide focus
- A need for additional research, evaluation and dissemination



Opportunities

- A growing awareness of substance use and mental health issues including the high rate of co-occurring disorders in adolescents and transition-age youth
- An increase in pockets of excellence and promising practices of recovery-oriented services and supports
- Funding opportunities
- Multiple formal and informal resources available in communities
- Emerging technologies providing innovative approaches for outreach to care and support of young people in recovery